

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/822,440 | 03/30/2001 | Jin Lu | US010078 | 8463 |
| 24737 7 | 590 01/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 | | | EISEN, ALEXANDER | |
| | MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2674 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/822,440 | LU, JIN | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alexander Eisen | 2674 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated as a simely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply n places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final contents. | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | eriod set forth in f the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | • | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | • | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | S. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consideration Sheet. | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided below | ⊠ will be entered a w or appended. | ind an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 21,22,24,25,27 and 28. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,14-20,23,26 and 29</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | |

Alexander Eisen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2674

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully submits that if the reference teaches that a key is inactive when a finger rests on a touch panel and is activated by increasing pressure, then the reference inherently teaches a threshold, i.e. the threshold is inherently present as a physical property of a system. On the other hand, the reference of record teaches that the activation pressure can be adjusted to a user liking, which is interpreted as presence of adjusting means that would be required for such adjustment.